## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
V.	)	Case No. 22-30341
JIGGER GARCIA	_ )	Cuse 110. 22-30341
Defendant	)	

JIGGER GARCIA	
Defendant	)
ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Par	t I - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
	rney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
•	at detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fac C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fa	act and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	ler 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable tion of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with o	ne of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	ation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the n	maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (2	ximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 1 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act hapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragra described in subparagraphs (a	has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs uph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal combination of such offenses; or
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the pos	rwise a crime of violence but involves: ssession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) pon; or <b>(iv)</b> a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
<del></del>	een convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise and
	aph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was s on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
	years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of	
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defen committed one or more of the following offenses:	dant
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (2 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	21
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 y or more is prescribed;	ears
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum ter imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	m of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	ر'.
✓ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ıring,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hea	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asses the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asses the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:     By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:     By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:     By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment   Lack of stable residence	ure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment	ure

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the August 15, 2022 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. At that time, the Court found probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the crime of coercion and enticement of a minor, including attempt, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b), and that there is, accordingly, a presumption in favor of detention in this case. Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut that presumption. Even if the defendant had overcome the presumption in favor of detention, the Court alternatively finds: (a) by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; and (b) by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that Defendant: (1) engaged in multiple conversations with an undercover officer (posing as a 15 year old boy) over text in which Defendant graphically expressed his sexual interest in young boys and desire to engage in sexual activity with the undercover; (2) told the undercover agent that Defendant has engaged in similar activity in the past; (3) was found in possession of items Defendant promised the undercover he would bring to their meet up in order to engage in sexual activity; (4) has significant ties outside of this country and the nature of the changes and potential penalties make Defendant a flight risk. The nature of the offense, in particular Defendant's relentless pursuit of the undercover shows that Defendant poses a real danger to minors in the community. The Court agrees with the recommendation of pretrial services that no conditions or combination of conditions will assure Defendant's appearance of the safety of the community. Detention is warranted.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	August 15, 2022	s/Kimberly G. Altman
		Judge's Signature
		Kimberly G. Altman, U.S. Magistrate Judge
		Name and Title